

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,129	01/18/2002	Michael J. Graziano	56162.000337 3794	
7590 03/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Kevin T. Duncan, Esq. Hunton & Williams Intellectual Property Department 1900 K Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006			TSE, YOUNG TOI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/050,129	GRAZIANO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		YOUNG T. TSE	2637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	anuary 2002.					
2a)□	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo the attached detailed Onice action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>053002</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/050,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 2637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 1a does not show the connection 1. or the relationship among the block elements 110, 112, 114 and 116 or the probe signals. In Figure 1b, block 128, "STUR" should be labeled "STUC". In Figure 1c, block 170, "STUC" should be labeled "STUR". In Figures 8 and 9, two figures are labeled together. Applicants are requested to label the figures separately, one for each figure. Also to correct the label of Figures 10 and 11 and also 21 and 22. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Application/Control Number: 10/050,129 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 11 and page 21, line 18, "G.SHDSL" and "PAM" are undefined; in the brief description of the drawings from page 5 to page 8, the Applicants are requested to clarify how many aspects of the present invention are disclosed and they need to be specified in numerical order. For the formality of the application under the present office practice, applicant(s) is required to replace "Claims" with "I or We Claim", "The Invention Claimed Is" (or the equivalent) before the Claims part of the specification of the instant application. See MPEP 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 4, "a first modem" should be "the first modem"; lines 5-6, "a communications channel" should be "the communication channel"; and line 9, "a data rate" should be "the data rate".

In claim 6, lines 3-4, "a communication channel" should be "the communication channel".

In claim 11, line 1, "a data rate" should be "the data rate".

Wherein claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-13 and 27 are directly or indirectly depended upon claim 1.

In claim 14, line 4, "a first modem" should be "the first modem"; line 6, "a communications channel" should be "the communication channel"; and line 9, "subbands;" should be "sub-bands; and".

In claim 19, line 3, "a communication channel" should be "the communication channel".

Wherein claims 15-18 and 20-26 are directly or indirectly depended upon claim 14.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of both claim 1 and claim 14 recites the communication between a first modern and a second modern over a communication channel. However, the second modern does not recite in the body of the claims.

The claimed subject matter of claim 6 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what are the differences between the first and second modems and a plurality of modems? Further, the phase "the steps" lacks antecedent basis since claim 1 recites three different steps; in other words, the Applicants are requested to specify exactly what are the steps for performing the claimed subject matter during a line probe session. Also see claims 12-13 and 19.

In claim 10 (line 2), claim 23 (line 2) and claim 27 (line 6), "G.SHDSL" and "PAM" are undefined.

In claim 11 and claim 24, Applicants are requested to clarify the phrase what is meant by "the estimate of channel capacity for a plurality of rates of interest"?

Wherein the dependent claims 2-5, 7-9, 15-18, 20-23 and 25-27 are depended upon claims 1 and 14.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,129

Art Unit: 2637

1.

7. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Betts et al..

Betts et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,711) discloses a channel capacity modulation system in Figure 1 comprising a first modem 110 and a second modem 120 for communication with each other over communication channels 101 and 102.

The second modem 102 comprises a signal-to-noise (SNR) circuit 200 performs a frequency dependent noise spectrum analysis from which frequency domain information of the noise signal is output by discrete Fourier transform techniques; a spectrum analyzer 300 provides information indicative of a frequency dependent amplitude response of the communication channel 101 without interrupting or affecting the data traffic through the modem 120. The first modem 110 includes a compute block 115 calculates the optimum boundary conditions of the communication channel 101 from the noise spectrum analysis and the frequency dependent amplitude provided by the modem 120. The compute block 115 alternatively may be located in the modem 120. See col. 3, lines 25-55 and col. 4, lines 15-40.

Figure 2 shows the detailed embodiment of the SNR circuit 200 of Figure 1.

Figure 3 shows the detailed embodiment of the spectrum analyzer 300 of Figure

Figure 4 illustrates the operation of the channel capacity communication system with the desired functionality including criteria for the optimization process.

Figure 5 illustrates in greater detail some of the functions provided in the flow chart of Figure 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,129

Art Unit: 2637

Figure 6 illustrates in greater detail some of the functions provided in the flow chart of Figure 5.

With respect to claims 1, 4, 11, 14, 17 and 24, the second modem 120 receives a signal from the first modem 110; determines the information conditions of the communication channels 101 or 102 associated with the first modem 110; calculates an estimate of channel capacity of a plurality of frequency domain sub-bands, for example, generates by an inverse discrete Fourier transformer in a transmitter circuit or a discrete Fourier transformer in a receiver circuit of the modem 110 or 120 (col. 1 lines 43-49 and col. 3, lines 49-55); and determines a data rate of the communication channel based on the estimate of channel capacity (col. 5, lines 34-67).

With respect to claims 2-3, 7-9, 15-16, and 20-22, the determination or estimation of the signal power, the noise power, and the signal and noise power is determined by the SNR circuit 200 and the spectrum analyzer 300 shown in figures 2 and 3.

With respect to claims 5 and 18, Betts teaches that noise shaping may include the effects of a non-white noise source injected into the channel between the transmitter of the transmit modem and the receiver of the receive modem. See col. 6, lines 19-26.

With respect to claims 6 and 19, the communication between the two modems 110 and 120 is performed during a line probe session. See col. 8, line 11-22.

With respect to claims 10 and 23, a modem operates according to the G.SHDSL standard for spectral compatibility is well known in the modem communications art.

With respect to claims 12-13 and 25-26, the communication of the modems 110 and 120 is communicated from a digital terminal equipment (DTE), which is well known

to a person skill in the art, such as, a customer premise equipment or a central office.

See col. 3, lines 29-31.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show or suggest that the estimate of channel capacity is calculated based on the equation (33) in the specification.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

References Richards et al., Holmquist, and Ginis et al. are made of record as describing a related method and apparatus for estimating the channel capacity of a communication channel between a first modem and a second modem.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOUNG T. TSE whose telephone number is (571) 272-3051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,129 Page 9

Art Unit: 2637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YOUNG T. TSE
Primary Examiner